loom unit of the second party was genuine and real and hence this court cannot interfere with it. In view of this finding there is no need to give finding on other issues and also refer to other authorities cited on behalf of both the parties. From the above finding I hold that the first party workman will not be entitled to reinstatement with back wages. If at all he has got any remedy he will have to work out such a remedy for compensation under Section 25FFF of the Industrial Disputes Act.

10. In the result I make an award rejecting the reference with no order as to costs.

A. N. SUNDARA MURTHY,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Bangalore.

ORDER

Bangalore dated the 24th *December* 1970.

S O. 144.—WHEREAS by State Government Order No. FCL 179 LLD 69, dated 2nd May 1969 the Industrial Dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. F. Fibre Corporation, A-83, Industrial Estate, Rajajinagar, Bangalore-10, was referred to the Labour Court, Bangalore for adjudication.

AND, WHEREAS the Labour Court has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

NOW, THEREFORE in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government of Mysore are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Mysore Gazette.*

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Mysore,

[*No. FCL* 278 *LLA* 70.]

ANIRUDHA DESAI,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food, Civil Supplies and Labour Department.